A Study of Transesterification of Chiral (–)-Pinanediol Methylboronic Ester with Various Structurally Modified $Diols^{\dagger}$

Chandra D. Roy^{1,2,*} and **Herbert C. Brown**¹

¹ H. C. Brown Center for Borane Research, Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, IN, USA

² EMD Biosciences Inc., San Diego, CA, USA

Received February 18, 2007; accepted (revised) March 11, 2007; published online May 23, 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

Summary. The transesterification of chiral (-)-pinanediol methylboronic ester was studied with various structurally modified diols by ¹H NMR to understand the factors influencing the unusual stability of this boronic ester as well as to find ways of recovering pinanediol from its methylboronic ester. In all the cases, reactions were allowed to proceed to equilibrium. The preliminary experiments indeed have shown some encouraging results (displacement of pinanediol up to 40-53%). Amongst cyclopentane-based cis-1,2-diols, endo-2-phenylexo,exo-2,3-norbornane-diol appeared to be the most effective diol in displacing pinanediol (38%). In the cases of pinanebased diols, the best result was obtained with 2-ethyl-6,6dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (53%). It was interesting to observe that the transesterification with 2phenyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol resulted in a 50% conversion after 4 days only, whereas the former diol took 24 days to reach equilibrium.

Keywords. Pinanediol; Boronic ester; Diol; Transesterification.

Introduction

Boronic acids and their esters are highly versatile building blocks in organic synthesis, which have found a broad range of applications in the areas of synthetic and pharmaceutical chemistry over the last decade [1–4]. α -Pinene-based chiral reagents and directors have been used extensively by Brown and Zweifel [5] and Matteson [6], especially in the asymmetric homologation of boronic esters. The stability of boronic esters is a key factor both in the protection of diols as well as in introducing and retrieving the chiral auxiliaries in a chiral auxiliary directed multistep organic synthesis. Pinanediol boronic esters appeared to be remarkably resistant toward hydrolysis, transesterification, or ligand exchange, which posed tremendous difficulties in introducing new chiral director of interest or recovering the chiral auxiliary. Transesterification is a convenient and gentle procedure that not only can introduce but also recover the chiral auxiliary to or from boronic ester, provided the former boronic ester is thermodynamically less stable than the latter. Although, we and others developed few procedures for the recovery of pinanediol from pinanediol boronic esters [7], there is only one report on the successful removal of pinanediol as pinanediol phenylboronic ester using $PhB(OH)_2$ in a biphasic system from *Boc*-protected dipeptide of proline boronic acid utilizing mild transesterification [8].

The main objective of this exercise is to understand the unusual stability of pinanediol boronic ester as well as to find ways of recovering pinanediol from its methylboronic ester by transesterification using various diols. During the study on the relative stability of various achiral and chiral boronic esters utilizing transesterification methodology [9],

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: chandra0919@gmail.com † This paper is dedicated to the memory of my mentor, the late Professor *Herbert C. Brown* (1912–2004). Professor *Herbert C. Brown* deceased on December 19, 2004. The work described herein was performed at Purdue University during my stay as a post-doctoral research associate (1997–2001)

we observed that certain substituted cyclopentaneand norbornane-based diols were comparatively very effective, as reflected by the extent of transesterification. Consequently, we designed and synthesized several diols having cyclopentane and norbornane skeleton as well as few structurally modified pinanediols and tested their effectiveness in transesterification of pinanediol methylboronic ester and the preliminary results of such study are presented in this short communication.

Results and Discussion

All the structurally modified diols were prepared by OsO_4 -catalysed *cis*-dihydroxylation [10] of the corresponding olefins (commercial or synthesized) in >75% chemical yields (Figs. 1 and 2). 2-Phenylapopinanediol **14** was synthesized starting from β -pinene as illustrated in Fig. 1. The ozonolysis of β -pinene provided nopinone, which was reacted with the *Grignard* reagent, *Ph*MgBr in *EE* at -40°C to room temperature for 20 h to get 6,6-dimethyl2-phenylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-2-ol (60%) [11]. A similar result was obtained when the nopinone was treated with *Ph*Li at -25° C to room temperature for 20 h. The resulting alcohol was dehydrated to 6,6-dimethyl-2-phenylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-ene (75%) using POCl₃ in pyridine at 0°C to room temperature for 24 h. The product olefin was subjected to OsO₄-catalyzed *cis*-dihydroxylation to afford 2-phenylapopinanediol **14** in 80% yield. A similar synthetic strategy was followed to obtain other alkyl- or aryl-substituted diols.

2-(β -Aminoethyl)apopinanediol **17** was prepared from the readily available starting material, nopol (Fig. 2). (*R*)-(–)-Nopol was converted to nopol tosylate by treating with *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride in pyridine at 0°C for 40–45 h in 83% yield [12]. Under OsO₄-catalyzed *cis*-dihydroxylation conditions, the nopol tosylate underwent solvolytic rearrangement. Therefore, the nopol tosylate was converted to the phthalimide derivative using potassium phthalimide (prepared *in situ* by reacting phthalimide with freshly powdered anhydrous K₂CO₃ in *DMF* at 130–140°C



Fig. 1. Synthesis of 2-phenylapopinanediol 14



Fig. 2. Preparation of 2-(β -hydroxyethyl)apopinanediol 16 and 2-(β -aminoethyl)apopinanediol 17

for 2 h) in *DMF* at 130°C for 15 h in 75% chemical yield. This phthalimide derivative underwent *cis*dihydroxylation very smoothly to give corresponding diol in good chemical yield (73%). Finally, the desired compound, 2-(β -aminoethyl)apopinanediol was obtained by the reduction of imido group with hydrazine in methanol in excellent yield (85%).

Transesterification of (1S,2S,3R,5S)-(-)- 2α , 3α -Pinanediol Methylboronic Ester (21)

In order to follow the exchange very accurately and precisely, transesterification reactions were conducted in CDCl₃ in NMR tubes under an inert atmosphere and the progress of each reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR. The stoichiometric ratios of both the boronic ester 21 and the diol were $0.05 \text{ mmol in } 1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ CDCl}_3$ and all the exchange reactions were allowed to proceed to equilibrium. Depending upon the fastness of the reactions (as reflected by the exchange values obtained soon after mixing), the reactions were frequently monitored (every 10 min to 1 h to 12-24 h) and the % transesterification were determined by integrating and comparing the distinct proton signals originated from the reactant boronic ester and the product boronic ester. The equilibrium proportions and the time after mixing which ¹H NMR used to determine those proportions were recorded are used to indicate the efficacy of the diols at displacing the pinanediol.

The initial exchange reaction of the boronic ester 21 [7b, 13] with commercially available *cis*-1,2cyclopentanediol in CDCl₃ showed 11% exchange (as was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy) which was quite encouraging considering the fact that no diol was reported to show any detectable amount of pinanediol exchange from its boronic ester (Fig. 3). Among the various substituted *cis*-1,2-cyclopentanediols [15], the best result was obtained with 1-isopropyl-cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol (4) which showed 31% transesterification (Fig. 4). Although the steric bulk of the substituents present on the diols slow down the transesterification, but they lead to the thermodynamically more stable boronic esters. In the case of 1,2-dimethyl-cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol (6) [16], the ineffectiveness (<5%) was attributed to the steric factors. To confirm this, the boronic ester derived from the diol 6 was prepared and subjected to transesterification with (-)-pinanediol under similar conditions. As expected, no significant transesterification (<5%) occurred even after 15 days, which confirmed the above conclusion. When boronic ester 21 was treated with exo, exo-2, 3-norbornanediol (7) [17], 25% exchange was observed. 2,3-Norbornanediol, being a rigid 3,5-disubstituted analog of 1,2-cyclopentanediol, appeared to be much more effective. Substitution at C₄-, C₅- and C₆-positions (9 and 10) [18–20] had no appreciable effects on the equilibrium compositions whereas the substitution at C₂-position by phenyl group 8 had positive effect on equilibrium



Fig. 3. Transesterification of chiral (-)-pinanediol methylboronic ester 21



Fig. 4. Cyclopentane- and norbornane-based structurally modified diols 1-11

(from 25 to 38%). The extent of transesterification with various cyclopentane-based *cis*-1,2-diols are tabulated in the Table 1.

After examining various cyclopentane- and norbornane-based diols, we turned our attention to test the effectiveness of few pinane-based diols (Fig. 5). These structurally modified pinanediols [10, 21], some of them having suitably substituted coordinating sites (-OH, $-NH_2$, OMe, $-N(C=O)_2$), which were hoped to provide an additional stability to the product boronic esters possibly by chelation. It is clear from the data (Table 2) that the nature of the

Table 1. Transesterification of the boronic ester 21 with various cyclopentane-based diols 1–11

Entry	Diol	Time/d	Equilibrium compositions % ^a RBE≒PBE
1	cis-1,2-Cyclopentanediol (1)	4	89 듲 11
2	1-Methyl-cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol (2)	7	87 ⇐ 13
3	1-Ethyl-cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol (3)	14	$78 \rightleftharpoons 22$
4	1-Isopropyl-cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol (4)	45	$69 \rightleftharpoons 31$
5	1-Phenyl-cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol (5)	16	$86 \rightleftharpoons 14$
6	1,2-Dimethyl-cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol (6)	7	No equilibrium
7	exo,exo-2,3-Norbornanediol (7)	10	75 <i>≒</i> 25
8	endo-2-Phenyl-exo,exo-2,3-norbornanediol (8)	28	$62 \rightleftharpoons 38$
9	Octahydro-4,7-methanoindene-exo,exo-5,6-diol (9)	6	$74 \rightleftharpoons 26$
10	exo,exo-4-Methyloctahydro-4,7-methanoindene-5,6-diol (10)	7	$72 \rightleftharpoons 28$
11	1,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-exo,exo-2,3-diol (11)	20	88 🗢 12

^a The exchange reaction was carried out in an NMR tube under nitrogen (boronic ester:diol = 1:1; 0.05 mmol each in 1 cm³ CDCl₃). RBE (reactant boronic ester) and PBE (product boronic ester)



Fig. 5. Pinane-based structurally modified diols 12–20

Table 2. Transesterification of (-)-pinanediol methylboronic ester 21 with various pinane-based diols 12-20

Entry	Diol	Time/d	Equilibrium compositions/ $\%^a$ RBE \rightleftharpoons PBE
1	6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (12)	8	67 😅 33
2	2-Ethyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (13)	24	47 😅 53
3	2-Phenyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (14)	4	50 ⇔ 50
4	2-(2-Chloroethyl)-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (15)	60	57 🚔 43
5	2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (16)	2	67 😅 33
6	2-(2-Aminoethyl)-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (17)	1	82 🖨 18
7	2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (18)	40	90 ⇒ 10
8	2-[2-(2,3-Dihydroxy-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-yl)- ethyl]isoindole-1,3-dione (19)	92	56 <i>≒</i> 44
9	2-Hydroxymethyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-2-ol (20)	22	No equilibrium reached

^a The exchange reaction was carried out in an NMR tube under nitrogen (boronic ester:diol = 1:1; 0.05 mmol each in 1 cm³ CDCl₃). RBE (reactant boronic ester) and PBE (product boronic ester)

751

side chain at C₂-position has a significant effect on stability. The apopinanediol 12, which lacks the C₂-Me group, could exchange only up to 33%. Both apopinanediols 16 and 17 bearing -OH and -NH₂ as potential chelating groups did not demonstrate any superiority over the ethyl-substituted apopinanediol 13. The substitution of C_2 -Me by C_2 -Ph group 14 also did not show any advantage. On the contrary, the diols 15 and 19 having β -chloroethyl and β -isoindoledione structures showed relatively higher percentages of transesterification (43-44%). The reason for the lower exchange with diols 16 and 17 is not clear at this stage. The unusual hydrolytic resistance of pinanediol boronic esters is believed to be due to the orientation of hydroxyl groups and rigidity of the free diol [6c]. The influence of the rigid structural framework, 6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane can't be underestimated. Other factors that influence the thermodynamics of ligand exchange include the entropies of internal rotations of free diols, the steric repulsion on enthalpy and the chelation. A summary of the best results obtained from diols of diverse structural types is presented in Fig. 6.

In conclusion, we have described the behaviour of (-)-pinanediol methylboronic ester 21 in transesterification reactions with a variety of cyclopentene- and pinane-based diols by ¹H NMR. The displacement of the pinanediol from its boronic ester has been achieved to a considerable extent, if not quantitatively. With cyclopentene-based-cis-1,2-diols, the pinanediol was only poorly displaced (best result of 38% with diol 8). In the cases of pinane-based diols, the displacements of pinanediol up to 43-53% could be attained instead, and transesterification with diol 14 resulted in a 50% conversion after 4 days only, though diols 13 and 15 showed similar values after 24 and 60 days, respectively. This study reveals that the equilibrium can be driven towards favoured direction by increasing the steric bulk on one of the carbons bearing an OH group. 1,2-Disubstituted diols were found to be ineffective, possibly due to steric reasons (hard to approach the boron center). Among the pinane-based diols, the nature of the side chain at C₂-position has significant effects on transesterification. The absence of methyl group in the cisapopinanediol 12 makes this boronic ester relatively



Fig. 6. A brief result summary of transesterification of chiral (–)-pinanediol methylboronic ester with structurally modified diols

less stable, as reflected by the equilibrium compositions ($67 \rightleftharpoons 33$). It was interesting to note that both diols **16** and **17** despite having an additional coordinating or chelating sites (-OH and $-NH_2$ groups) did not show any improvements in comparison with 2-ethylapopinanediol **13**. It would be interesting to synthesize other structurally modified diols and test their effectiveness in transesterification.

Experimental

All operations involving organoboranes were carried out under an inert atmosphere. Detailed procedures and techniques for handling air- and moisture-sensitive compounds have been reported elsewhere [14]. The ¹¹B (96 MHz), ¹H (300 MHz), and ¹³C (75 Mhz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini NMR instrument, and the chemical shifts (δ) are given in *ppm* relative to external standard BF₃-Et₂O and internal standards *TMS* and CDCl₃. *THF* was freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Octahydro-4,7-methanoindene*exo,exo*-5,6-diol (**9**) and *exo,exo*-4-methyl-octahydro-4,7methanoindene-5,6-diol (**10**) were obtained as gift. β -Pinene, (*R*)-(-)-nopol, camphor, N₂H₄-H₂O, *PhLi*, *Ph*MgBr, OsO₄, *NMO*, *p*-*Ts*Cl, phthalimide, *cis*-1,2-cyclopentanediol, (-)- α pinanediol, and methylboronic acid were purchased from the Aldrich Chemical Co.

Experimental Techniques Used for Transesterification

In order to monitor the progress of the exchange reaction precisely and conveniently, reactions were carried out in CDCl₃ solvent in a tightly closed NMR tubes under N₂ atmosphere and the progress of the reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Both (-)-pinanediol methylboronic ester and the diol (0.05 mmol of each) were dissolved in 1 cm^3 CDCl₃ and the NMR tube was flushed with N₂. For fast reactions, the progress of the reaction was followed quite frequently (every 5-15 min) whereas the reactions were monitored every 12-24 h for slow reactions. The starting and product boronic esters always had certain distinguishable protons, which could be followed, compared and integrated to obtain the quantitative values of the transesterification. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded for extended periods of time even after the exchange had ceased (for slow reactions) to get accurate equilibrium compositions. In some cases, product boronic esters were prepared and subjected to transesterification with the corresponding diols to confirm the unfavorable equilibrium due to steric factors or to verify the obtained equilibrium composition values by conducting the reactions in reverse directions.

¹H NMR Spectroscopic Data for Diols

1-Methylcyclopentane-*cis*-1,2-diol (2) [15], 1-ethylcyclopentane-*cis*-1,2-diol (3) [15], 1-isopropylcyclopentane-*cis*-1,2diol (4) [15], 1-phenylcyclopentane-*cis*-1,2-diol (5) [15], 1,2-dimethylcyclopentane-*cis*-1,2-diol (6) [16], bicyclo[2.2.1] heptane-*exo*,*exo*-2,3-diol (7) [17], 2-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1] heptane-*exo*,*exo*-2,3-diol (8) [18], *endo*,*endo*-octahydro-4,7-methanoindene-*exo*,*exo*-5,6-diol (9) [19], 1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-*exo*,*exo*-2,3-diol (11) [20], 2-methoxyethyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-*cis*-2,3diol (18) [10], 2-hydroxymethyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-2-ol (20) [21], and (–)-pinanediol methylboronic ester (21) [7b] have been well characterized in literature.

6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (**12**, C₉H₁₆O₂) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 4.15 (m, CHOH), 2.80 (br s, CHOH), 2.50–1.50 (m, CHOH, CH and CH₂), 1.26 (s, CH₃), 0.79 (s, CH₃) ppm.

2-*Ethyl*-6,6-*dimethylbicyclo*[3.1.1]*heptane-cis*-2,3-*diol* (**13**, C₁₁H₂₀O₂)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 3.96 (m, CHOH), 2.60 (d, CHOH), 2.50–1.30 (m, CHOH, CH and CH₂), 1.26 (s, CH₃), 0.95 (t, CH₂CH₃), 0.92 (s, CH₃) ppm.

$\label{eq:2-Phenyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]} heptane-cis-2,3-diol $$ (14, C_{15}H_{20}O_2)$$$

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.49–7.25 (m, ArH), 4.55 (m, CHOH), 2.80 (br s, CHOH), 2.50–1.50 (m, C(*Ph*)OH, CH and CH₂), 1.27 (s, CH₃), 0.80 (s, CH₃) ppm.

 $\label{eq:characteristic} \begin{array}{l} 2\text{-}Chloroethyl-6,6\text{-}dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3\text{-}diol} \\ \textbf{(15, C_{11}H_{19}ClO_2)} \end{array}$

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 4.15 (m, CHOH), 3.75 (m, CH₂Cl), 3.04 (s, COH), 2.60 (d, CHOH), 2.60–1.30 (m, CH and CH₂), 1.26 (s, CH₃), 0.96 (s, CH₃) ppm.

2-*Hydroxyethyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo*[3.1.1]*heptane-cis-2,3-diol* (**16**, C₁₁H₂₀O₃)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 4.15 (m, CHOH), 4.05–3.80 (m and s CH₂OH and COH), 3.40 (d, CHOH), 2.55 (t, CH₂OH), 2.50–1.30 (m, CH and CH₂), 1.27 (s, CH₃), 0.93 (s, CH₃) ppm.

2-Aminoethyl-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-cis-2,3-diol (17, C₁₁H₂₁NO₂)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 4.00 (m, CHOH), 3.06 (m, CH₂NH₂), 2.50–1.40 (m, NH₂, COH, CH and CH₂), 1.26 (s, CH₃), 0.93 (s, CH₃) ppm.

2-[2-(*cis*-2,3-*Dihydroxy*-6,6-*dimethylbicyclo*[3.1.1]*hept*-2-*yl*) *ethyl*]*isoindole*-1,3-*dione* (**19**, C₁₉H₂₃NO₄)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.82 (m, ArH), 7.70 (m, ArH), 4.10 (m, CHOH), 3.87 (m, CH₂N), 3.30–3.00 (br s, CHOH and COH), 2.60–1.30 (m, CH and CH₂), 1.25 (s, CH₃), 0.93 (s, CH₃) ppm.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Purdue Borane Research Fund is gratefully acknowledged. We would also like to thank Professor *Donald S. Matteson* for his interest and encouragement.

References

- Duggan PJ, Tyndall EM (2002) J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1: 1325
- [2] Hall DG (ed) (2005) Boronic Acids: Preparations and Applications in Organic Synthesis and Medicine. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim
- [3] Matteson DS (1995) In: Hafner K, Rees CW, Trost BM, Lehn JM, Schleyer PVR (eds) Stereodirected Synthesis with Organoboranes. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg
- [4] Yang W, Gao X, Wang B (2003) Medicinal Research Reviews 23: 346
- [5] a) Brown HC, Zweifel G (1961) J Am Chem Soc 83:
 486; b) Brown HC, Ramachandran PV (1995) J Organomet Chem 500: 1
- [6] a) Matteson DS (1989) Tetrahedron 45: 1859; b) Matteson DS (1991) Pure Appl Chem 63: 339; c) Matteson DS, Man HW (1996) J Org Chem 61: 6047; d) Matteson DS (1999) Chemtech 29: 6; e) Matteson DS (1999) J Organomet Chem 581: 51; f) Kotha S, Lahiri K, Kashinath D (2002) Tetrahedron 58: 9633
- [7] a) Brown HC, Rangaishenvi MV (1988) J Organomet Chem 358: 15; b) Matteson DS, Ray R, Rocks RR, Tsai DJS (1983) Organometallics 2: 1536; c) Matteson DS, Ray R (1980) J Am Chem Soc 102: 7590; d) Rangaishenvi MV, Singaram B, Brown HC (1991) J Org Chem 56: 3286; e) Brown HC, Bakshi RK, Singaram B (1988) J Am Chem Soc 110: 1529; f) Brown HC, Singaram B, Cole TE (1985) J Am Chem Soc 107: 460; g) Brown HC, Cole TE, Bakshi RK, Srebnik M, Singaram B (1986) Organometallics 5: 2303; h) Matteson DS, Michnick TJ, Willett RD, Patterson CD (1989) Organometallics 8: 726; i) Matteson DS, Sadhu KM, Lienhard GE (1981) J Am Chem Soc 103: 5241

- [8] Coutts SJ, Adams J, Krolikowski D, Snow RJ (1994) Tetrahedron Lett 35: 5109
- [9] a) Roy CD, Brown HC (2007) J Organomet Chem 692:
 784; b) Roy CD, Brown HC (2007) Tetrahedron Lett 48:
 1959; c) Roy CD, Brown HC (2007) Tetrahedron Submitted
- [10] Ray R, Matteson DS (1980) Tetrahedron Lett 21: 449
- [11] a) Coxon JM, Garland RP, Hartshorn MP (1970) Aust J Chem 23: 1069; b) Hughes CR, MacSweeney DF, Ramage R (1971) Tetrahedron 27: 2247
- [12] Midland MM, Kazubski A (1992) J Org Chem 57: 2953
- [13] a) Brown HC, Bhat NG, Somayaji V (1983) Organometallics 2: 1311; b) Brown HC, Cole TE (1983) Organometallics 2: 1316; c) Brown HC, Singh SM (1986) Organometallics 5: 998
- [14] Brown HC, Kramer GW, Levy AB, Midland MM (1975) Organic Syntheses via Borane. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- [15] Wistuba E, Ruchardt C (1981) Tetrahedron Lett **22**: 4069
- [16] Petris G, Giacomello P, Picotti T, Pizzabiocca A, Renzi G, Speranza M (1986) J Am Chem Soc 108: 7491
- [17] Grayson SM, Long BK, Kusomoto S, Osborn BP, Callahan RP, Chambers CR, Willson CG (2006) J Org Chem 71: 341
- [18] Kim HS, Begum K, Ogura N, Wataya Y, Nonami Y, Ito T, Masuyama A, Nojima M, McCullough KJ (2003) J Med Chem 46: 1957
- [19] Kleinpeter E, Kuhn H, Muhlstadt M (1976) Org Magn Reson 8: 279
- [20] a) Partanem T, Maikonen PJ, Vainiotalo P, Vepsalainen J
 (1990) J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2: 772; b) Angyal SJ,
 Young RJ (1959) J Am Chem Soc 81: 5467
- [21] Kraus R, Spiteller G (1991) Phytochemistry 30: 1203